PROVISIONAL DOCUMENT FOR REVIEW

# The Future Orientation of Geographic Information Systems (GIS) in Africa

A discussion paper[1] produced for the ad hoc meeting of experts convened by the
Development Information Services Division (DISD)
United Nations Economic Commission for Africa

6-10 November 2000

---

[1] Paper commissioned by ECA prepared by Dr. Chukwudozie, EZIGBALIKE, consultant

---

# Table of Contents

# The Future Orientation of Geographic Information Systems (GIS) in Africa

*When planning future strategies for spatial information management, governments worldwide sometimes just concentrate on the technology and do not consider other influences or drivers – they do this at their peril. (Williamson 1999)*

# Executive Summary

The Division of Information Systems for Development (DISD) wishes to raise the awareness of African governments on the importance of geographic information. The main technology for processing geographic data is the geographic information system (GIS). It is therefore pertinent to examine the future orientation of GIS in Africa.

At the lowest level, GIS refers to the software packages for manipulating spatial data. At a medium level, it includes the data and information resources created with the hardware and software tools and which only the same tools can exploit. The rest of the world has moved beyond the resource level and emphasis is now on how to get spatial data into the wider community, using the technology as appropriate. This calls for a shift in emphasis from GIS and spatial data resources to spatial data infrastructures.

There is a lot of economic potential locked away in spatial data collections and this potential is released by making the data widely available. It results in better government as communities have access to the data and can get involved in decisions affecting them. The industry is stimulated leading to more economic activity. Decisions are based on accurate and timely data making them more relevant.

The components of the SDI can be listed in several ways. The description adopted in the paper lists them as data, communications, partnerships, standards, technology and users. The user component is very important because without users, the result will be the proverbial "white elephant."

There are several concepts related to the SDI. These include the Internet and its use to deliver spatial data service and metadata systems, which have evolved from internal tools used by database and filing systems to manage queries to become products in their own rights. Other relevant concepts are clearinghouses and data warehouses. The clearinghouse is a network application (now predominantly Internet based) that allows a user to search for data across the network and download the data set. The system will search the metadata records and display a result showing what data sets are available, with links to the actual data sets where possible. The data warehouse is an extracted subset of various heterogeneous operation data sets to expedite and facilitate access to common data elements. The data provider supplies the relevant elements the warehouse, free their resources from constant routine requests and being able to concentrate on their main business.

To establish SDIs, there are several issues that African countries need to address. Among them is the issue of coordination of the contributions from various sectors. The coordination functions must be authoritative and independent to be able to enforce standards and rules. A common mistake in information management is to assign the coordination to one of the major

producers or users. An analogy is drawn with financial management where the major producers and consumers of funds are never given the responsibility to manage the country's or organisation's funds.

Another issue to be address is the need to re-engineer the spatial data industry. This may involve re-structuring government departments or agencies involved in providing spatial data and/or spatial services. Other countries have adopted a customer oriented service model, emphasis being placed on how best to serve the "customer". Then there is the problem of low awareness of the value of information, especially spatial information, by senior management. The result is that funds are rarely allocated to information projects. Africa also has to address the issue of low skills and the poor state of other physical infrastructure on which the SDI depends.

The SDI should include several data sets maintained by various providers and used by several data communities. One of the important subsets of the data is the environmental subset. This data community has been active in developing environmental information systems, and has adopted SDI principles. On the other hand, two other subsets, the digital cadastre and digital road network, have lagged behind.

And important source of spatial digital data is satellite remote sensing. The technology has advanced so much that they are capable of providing accurate information for many applications, and at a cheaper overall cost than conventional mapping, and faster too.

However all the spatial infrastructure activities cost money and Africa has serious financial constraints. An appropriate cost recovery model should therefore be included from the onset.

# The Future Orientation of Geographic Information Systems (GIS) in Africa

*When planning future strategies for spatial information management, governments worldwide sometimes just concentrate on the technology and do not consider other influences or drivers – they do this at their peril. (Williamson 1999)*

# Introduction

This study is part of coordinated activities by the United Nations Economic Commission for Africa (UNECA) to "raise awareness of African governments and other sectors of society on the importance of geographic information in socio-economic development and to identify practical mechanisms to facilitate spatial data collection, access and use in the decision-making process, both national and regionally, through a participatory approach."

Emphasis is therefore placed on the whole structure for the acquisition, management and use of spatial data, and not only on the technology.

The main technology components, geographic information systems (GIS), consist of software packages that are capable of integrating spatial and non-spatial data to yield the spatial information that is used in decision making. They are computer-based equipment, procedures and techniques for manipulating spatial or map data. This is the common meaning of the term GIS. The study does not concentrate on GIS because in this context, it is only a tool used for particular projects to perform particular analyses. Such emphasis may give the impression that the solution to the problem in Africa is the acquisition of computer hardware and software, or the automation of map production for projects. This will result in several idle computer-mapping laboratories looking for projects to support.

In most cases data that are collected for a particular project are useful for other projects. This is more so in the integrated planning environment required for sustainable development, which is where the emphasis is in Africa. Sustainable development also requires that all wastes are minimised and resources re-used as much as possible.

The need to re-use spatial data is also dictated by the recent "commoditisation" of data and information. The costs involved in data collection should taken into account in project planning; the data collected can be sold or traded for other considerations. Moreover, some data required for particular decisions are transient and may no longer be available to collect when required. Decisions concerning agricultural practices are a good example. Often these decisions will require data on the environment spanning over several years. These data must be collected when they are available, even if the need for them are not present at the time of collection, as they may no longer be available to collect at the time that may are needed. Therefore there is a need for this type of data to be collected and placed in "data stores" (databases) for future use. Databases of this type become shared resources, which would be maintained continuously. A database, which has been created, maintained and exploited using a GIS–the tool–is itself often referred to as a GIS. Thus at this level, GIS is used as a resource.

Creating, maintaining and using such data resources usually requires collaboration and co-operation of several user groups and professional disciplines. Such collaboration requires a strategic plan and clearly defined responsibilities and roles. One of the most important responsibilities is that of coordinating the contributions and utilisation of the resources by organisations at national and local governments, the private sector and the academia. Different users (which may be agencies or groups) have to be assigned custodianship responsibilities and use privileges for subsets of the data. General community users would then be able to expect the data to be available, and with network technology, to be accessible transparently. The data resources then acquire the status of an infrastructure. It is only at this infrastructure level that the full investment of spatial data can be realised by all. Also policy makers, and other users can go about their business, doing what they are best at, relying on the infrastructure for their data needs.

# Spatial Data Infrastructures

## Infrastructure Characteristics

A dictionary definition of an infrastructure is "the underlying foundation or basic framework (e.g., of a system or organization)" (Longman 1984). It is the basic structural foundation of a society or enterprise, a substructure on which other components are based.

An infrastructure has the following characteristics:

- Its users are not conscious of its "ownership". Users are aware that 'somebody' maintains the infrastructure, but do not regard this maintainer as an owner. For example, nobody regards the Roads Department as the owner of the highways, rather, users think of them as custodians or maintainers of that infrastructure.

- As of result of the removal of the concept of ownership from the infrastructure, users take it for granted. They expect it to always be available, even if there is a few or other consideration for its use. For example, though we pay for electric power, we expect it to be there always.

- The user is responsible for any equipment required for exploiting or benefiting from the infrastructure.

- The delivery or provision of the service is standardised.

A service that is delivered as infrastructures is delivered in that manner because it is the most effective and efficient way to deliver it. Imagine supplying water to a community without the usual water infrastructure of reservoirs, pumps and pipes. This would involve going to the source with a container to collect water, as in fact is done in rural villages where the infrastructure for water distribution has not been installed. Other services can only be delivered in the form of infrastructure and there is no imaginable alternative, e.g., transportation and electricity supplies.

## Spatial Data as Infrastructure

The main reason why spatial data are now being considered as infrastructure is to unlock the potential hidden in data and stimulate economic activity. Referring to LANDSAT images, the Vice President of the Unites States of America said:

> The Landsat program, designed to help us understand the global environment, is a good example. The Landsat satellite is capable of taking a complete photograph of the entire planet every two weeks, and it's been collecting data for more than 20 years. In spite of the great need for that information, the vast majority of those images have never fired a single neuron in a single human brain. Instead, they are stored in electronic silos of data. We used to have an agricultural policy where we stored grain in Midwestern silos and let it rot while millions of people starved to death. Now we have an insatiable hunger for knowledge. Yet a great deal of data remains unused. (Gore 1998)

As data collection methods improve, vast stores of data are collected for specific applications. These data sets can be used for other various purposes, but only if the potential users know that they exist. Attention is therefore shifting to the technologies for the efficient dissemination of the spatial data collected and stored in those large "electronic silos", to ensure easy access to them by all decision-makers and the community at large. It has been recommended that communities be informed and involved in decisions in their areas:

> Most of the creative and productive activities of individuals or groups take place in communities. Communities and citizens' groups provide the most readily accessible means for people to take socially valuable action as well as to express their concerns. Properly mandated, empowered and informed, communities can contribute to decisions that affect them and play an indispensable part in creating a securely based sustainable society (Rockefeller 1996).

This is put differently by the FGDC: "Quality of life in a free society is determined by the collective decisions of its individual citizens acting in the home, the workplace, and together as members of the community."

These recommendations and observations are in line with a shift towards customer-centred service deliveries, which ensures maximum satisfaction for little efforts. The current trend in the development of technologies for widespread dissemination of spatial data is to treat spatial data as infrastructure, thus the spatial data infrastructure (SDI) concept.

Comparing the national spatial data infrastructure (NSDI) to the highway infrastructure, McLaughlin and Nichols (1993) wrote:

> By investing in a national highway infrastructure—from interstate freeways and road maintenance programs to vehicle standards and driver education—the country established a platform for a way of life that would have been hard to envision in the Model-T era. The changes directly related to this infrastructure range from the mundane to the profound, and include the car industry, "drive-in" conveniences, better emergency services, and suburban America.

> We can't really imagine what the spatial data marketplace will look like in the 21ˢᵗ century, but we know that without an effective infrastructure it will not reach its potential. Just as the availability of mass-produced maps have become the background for understanding geography and history in schools and for planning summer holidays, spatial information in its new forms will diffuse throughout society.

They go on to say that it will provide geographical referencing for many other types of information and "will help the nation better understand the land and the environment." They highlighted the potentials for growth in the spatial information industry and suggested: "putting NSDI in place is a prerequisite for realizing this transformation."

# Components of the SDI

SDIs comprise the fundamental datasets (spatial data resource) as well as the interrelationships between these datasets, the management of them, and the means of access to, and distribution of, those data. The FGDC (1996) defined an SDI as an "umbrella of policies, standards, and procedures under which organisations and technologies interact to foster more efficient use, management, and production of geospatial data." It further explained that it "consists of organisations and individuals who generate or use geospatial data, of the technologies that facilitate use and transfer of geospatial data, and of the actual data." It should at no stage be assumed that SDIs are all about networks and technology (FGDC 1996). An SDI will not function, no matter how good the networking and technology is, if communication channels, standards and procedures, partnerships and data have not been developed.

## Data

The actual spatial data that reside in an SDI are obviously the most important competent in an SDI. SDIs cannot exist without spatial data. For spatial data resources to acquire the infrastructure status, they need to develop to a stage where they are accurate, consistent, and updated regularly. The maintenance and update responsibilities should be clearly defined and rationalised to avoid duplication and inconsistencies. It is also required that the dataset be used by members of the spatial data community as, essentially, a base data resource upon which other data sets can be superimposed.

This presupposes the ability to cross-reference data between the various sub-systems based on some common attribute. In geographic applications, the common attribute for cross-referencing is location. Locations are determined by surveying and mapping methods and are usually represented as maps, or stored as digital geographic base. This is the base layer on which other data sets are built.

## Communication

At its most fundamental level an SDI consists of the individuals who are concerned with spatial data, both users and producers. One of the most important first steps in the creation of an effective SDI is the establishment of good communication channels between individuals and organisations concerned with spatial data (FGDC 1996). The development of good communication channels between individuals and agencies within the spatial data community

allows for the establishment of partnerships, standards and procedures that allows for data to be shared/traded/purchased amongst the different data custodians and users.

## Partnerships

Partnerships develop from good communication, and may be grouped together with the communication component. However, it has been listed separately here to underline its importance. Partnerships are a major achievement in the establishment of an SDI because organisations tend to feel that they are giving up their competitive edge to share, trade, sell or create, data with other organisations (FGDC 1996). This feeling even occurs between departments of the same level of government. Partnerships are extremely important. The best computer network and set of databases are useless if the custodians of the data are not willing to share, trade, or even sell their data, provided first that the data are in a form that will interest other users.

## Common Standards and Procedures

Common procedures and standards facilitate the sharing of data across the SDI to a greater extent. The transportation infrastructure presents a good analogy. In the transport infrastructure standards dealing with rail gauges, road sizes, and the side of the road to drive on are just a few of the many standards that are in place to help people make better use of the infrastructure. Similar standards are required for spatial data infrastructures. Having datasets in an SDI that are stored in different formats means that the sharing of these datasets is difficult due to the many incompatibilities that exist between the datasets. Many software products will not read data made by other software products, and hence the best utilisation of the data cannot be obtained. By having standards, for example for data storage, encoding and transfer, in SDIs, data can easily be shared among a wider community of users and the best possible utilisation of the data can be achieved.

Common standards within an SDI tend to solve many of the incompatibility problems for newly created data, however the legacy data will remain a problem. Organisations have significant amounts of money tied up in the systems that have so much legacy data that are not compatible with other legacy data used by other organisations that very few of them are willing to sacrifice their own investment in order to have an effective SDI. This is why communication is important and a dedicated co-ordinating role is required to negotiate the standards.

## Technology

There are two aspects to the technology component of SDIs. The first aspect is the actual technology that deals with communicating over networks. Much of the SDI technical infrastructure dealing with networks has already been built, or is in the process of being built, by the world's general information technology (IT) industry as they build the global information infrastructures. Computers are getting faster and the telecommunications and computing hardware, software and standards which supports distributed geoprocessing are spreading at a rapid rate (McKee 1996).

The second aspect to the technology component of SDIs is the technology that is required to allow data to acquire an infrastructure status. It is not only the spatial data capture technologies that are important, but also the data abstracting, modelling and software technology required to maintain the datasets. A key aspect of this is client/server architecture.

5

## Users

The SDI will be of no value if there are no users. This is therefore an important component of the SDI. Included in the user component are individuals, groups and organisations that produced the data sets in the system and maintain various aspects of it. There are also the group of users who add value to the original data sets to produce new data and information products, which then become part of the data and information infrastructure. And then there is the community of users who use the infrastructure to solve problems and support various types of decisions, from a householder using the information from the system to determine where to buy their family house, through the corporate user who uses the system to analyse its customer base, to the government department which uses to plan a development project.

It is not enough to have individuals and organisations classified as users. They should possess appropriate knowledge and skills to perform their roles in the infrastructure effectively, be it providing data, transforming data, managing data or exploiting the resource.

Africa is not short of individuals and organisations with assigned or assumed roles as described above. However, the skill base is still very low. Computer permeation is still low in organisations and homes, and so is computer literacy.  The result is that operations that should be computerised are still being performed manually. These include operations on/with spatial data. The ability to participate fully in the operations of the infrastructure, and realise the full potential of the data resources is therefore limited.

Associated with this is the state of our educational institutions. Some institutions have not been able to keep up to date with advances in concepts and technology in this area. They are therefore unable to perform their role in the operation of the SDI, which is to produce the skill and knowledge base for the relevant activities. This is due mainly to scarce financial resources, and the fact that benefits from investments in spatial data projects are long term rather than immediate. Politicians and administrators would rather commit the scarce resources to projects that will yield results in time to affect their electoral fortunes and performance appraisal targets.

# Important Concepts

## The Internet and Spatial Data

The Internet, especially the World Wide Web (WWW), is one of the most influential technologies of the Information Age. It has greatly facilitated access to information in a variety of formats:

> Eventually, people will be able to reach out from their homes or businesses to exchange information in the form of voice, video, data, and images in any combination they need, with no more effort than it takes to dial a phone call today. (Benton Foundation 1995)

That promise has been realized through web browser technology that presents a consistent interface with hyperlinks that point to other pages. The result is "a seamless web of communications networks, computers, databases, and consumer electronics that will put vast amounts of information at users' fingertips." There is no need to remember names of files, or which computer on the network they are stored on, or even the exact commands to load or

view the files or documents. We simply "browse" through a worldwide information resource through hyperlinks.

Each resource has a unique web "address" to which the link points, and which if known can be used to go directly to it, rather than navigating through links on other pages. The links can also be made to point to programs so that instead of opening a document, a program is invoked. The address could be a filename to view, a program to execute, a computer to connect to, or any other resource to use. Thus, instead of referring to filenames or computer names or address, we have a resource locator, the Universal Resource Locate (URL).

The Internet is also extending its reach and application to spatial information services. The problems that still exist in this application are "the limitations imposed by network bandwidth or the amount of data that can physically be transferred in a reasonable period of time across the Internet" (Polley and Williamson 1997). Initially majority of GIS-related web sites "contained marketing or technical information describing hardware and software products, GIS projects or programs, institutional or educational initiatives and research efforts" (Coleman and McLaughlin 1997). As more high-speed, high-volume lines become available, more spatial data services are now offered on the Internet. Coleman (1997) observed four overlapping categories of usage as follows:

1. Advertising: concerned mainly with advertising data products, with maybe, some samples.

2. Data distribution: allowing users to search for specific information and download the relevant data sets.

3. Custom map creation and viewing: providing "just-in-time" mapping using tools that allow for the composition, downloading and display of user-defined custom map products.

4. GIS/Internet integration: integrating a limited amount of front-end query capabilities with the capabilities of DBMS and GIS software packages residing in the background.

The fourth category represents the most advanced stage and is the direction in which spatial data services, especially in the infrastructure environment, are going. This development has been facilitated by the development of metadata engines and open systems computing.


## Metadata systems

A very important component of the SDI is the metadata system. Metadata is commonly defined as "data about data" (ANZLIC 1996; Kildow 1996; ANZLIC 1997). Originally, metadata were only used by database and information retrieval systems to describe the internal layout of the data schemes within them (Codd 1990; Korth and Silberschatz 1991). They constituted the "underlying set of rules which tells a software program how to handle data" (Wilson 1998). For instance, a relational database system will consist of tables, queries, reports and forms. Each table will be made up of fields and the fields will have data types and field lengths. There will be relationships between the tables, and data for the forms and queries will be drawn from base tables. There may be integrity constraints enforced in the database. All this descriptive information about components of the database is as important as the data that the user stores and manipulates in the database. Without this information, the system cannot function. All this information is structured in a special section of the database

and maintained by the system, usually without the user being aware of its existence. Before any access to the system is completed, metadata records are accessed to determine which tables and fields are necessary to satisfy a user's data request.

Metadata have become products in their own rights, especially in the spatial data management field, where they are used to describe the characteristics of datasets. Characteristics like the custodian, description of the data, geographic extents of the data, currency of the data, storage format, data quality, contact information to inquire about the dataset are all described. In this context metadata is extremely important for spatial data as it allows a potential user of a dataset to determine whether the dataset is useful to them or not. Metadata systems can be established that allow users to search the metadata records for the datasets located on a network. From the results they are able to determine if there are any datasets that may be of interest to them, how to gain access to them, any constraints on using them, etc. Such an application is often referred to as a spatial data directory or, in some cases, a clearinghouse.

Metadata of this type are extremely important as they facilitate the more efficient use of spatial data. This is achieved by allowing potential users of spatial data to search for datasets that may suit their needs. They can look at the metadata record for a dataset and see if it meets the criteria for use that they have set. The record will also tell the searcher the access rights or constraints that the dataset has. All this is very important, as it is usually cheaper to acquire that spatial dataset from another party that has it than it is to produce the dataset oneself. The last thing organisations want to do is to duplicate work that has already been completed by another party.

## Clearinghouses

A clearinghouse is a network application that allows authorised users to search and discover data on the network, and obtain the data. Underpinning the clearinghouse activity are the metadata systems containing field-level description of the available data sets. The metadata systems use a common standard to allow consistent querying. The clearinghouse activity of the FGDC "readily available Web technology for the client side and uses the ANSI standard Z39.50 for the query, search, and presentation of search results to the Web client" (FGDC nd). It provides users with a consistent interface over the diverse spatial data collections, stored at various participating sites. A fundamental objective is to provide access to digital spatial data through metadata. If a data set is available online, one of its metadata fields would be its URL. Since it is based on web technology, this field would be hyperlinked in the displayed result. This allows the user to click on the link to initiate download of the data. Some data sets may be too large for online delivery, or there may be a fee payable for the data and/or its distribution. Regular data users would normally have an account with the custodian and would be given an access code. In cases where online delivery is not possible, the link could point to instructions on procedures for offline ordering, or to an order form that can be completed and submitted on line.

Phillips (1998) notes that "clearinghouse can either be a single server that has all the metadata for the entire network, or it could be a system of decentralised servers that are located on a network." When the servers are decentralised, they " may be installed at local, regional, or central offices, dictated by the organizational and logistical efficiencies of each organization" (FGDC nd). FGDC (nd) further notes that all the participating servers are considered as " peers" with no hierarchies. This permits

```
" direct query by any user on the Internet with minimum
transaction processing."
```

### Data Warehouse

The original concept of the data warehouse is a `"complete repository of corporate data extracted from transaction systems that is available for ad-hoc access by knowledge workers"` (Phillips 1998). Applied to spatial data and SDIs, it is the collection of spatial data sets extracted from operational spatial data resources and maintained for more general access. Though the technology allows for spatial data queries to be processed against operational systems maintained by data providers and used by major spatial service provides, it is more efficient to provide data warehouse facilities for general enquiries. Routine decision-makers and public enquirers are expected to refer to easily identifiable data elements that do not change often. By providing the warehouse facility with separate management, the spatial data and service providers can concentrate on their main business. Manitoba's (Canada) spatial data warehouse, the Land Information Navigator, is managed by Linnet. Its purpose is "to collect, store, manage and distribute spatial data on behalf of the data producers of the Province, and to facilitate the sharing and use of land related data" (Linnet 2000). GIS vendors now offer special data warehousing features in their software products.

# SDI Issues for Africa

### Legacy Data

When an SDI is being developed one of the biggest issues that has to be addressed is what to do with all the legacy data (data that are already in existence). Many countries that have implemented SDIs had near-glut conditions with spatial data in analogue form. This led to the large collections of legacy data that need to be converted. A major cost of the SDI implementation is therefore the cost of converting the existing collection of data to conform to the adopted standards. Another problem with legacy data is that they are likely to be stored in various proprietary formats, making the sharing/trading of data more difficult. The lesson to be learned from this experience by new entrants to the geospatial information industry is to plan for SDI from the onset. Africa has spatial data, but it has not reached a "glut" stage. Further developments in spatial data utilisation in Africa should adopt SDI principles to minimise the problems of legacy data when the communications and information technologies become available for a fully on-line, distributed SDI.

### Coordinating Arrangements

African countries are going through a familiar phase that many other countries have gone through in their GIS development whereby different sectors engage in GIS activities without coordination. It is not uncommon to find different agencies collecting the same data at the same or different times. This is especially true in donor-funded projects, with independent funds. It often happens also that data sets of national importance, developed by different departments may not be integrated or exchanged due to non existence of a common standard,

and in fact this may be used as an excuse to justify duplication of efforts. In many cases, the duplication may occur because the later projects are not aware of data and information products from earlier projects that could be used by them.

The GIS, as information technology, requires dedicated management arrangements. There is need for management structures for coordinating the development, use and rationalisation of geospatial data activities, to realise the potential benefits of spatial data resources. The functions to be performed include the coordination of efforts among the stakeholders in the spatial data community to ensure cooperation and partnership, rather than competition.

Jurisdictions that have gone far toward implementing SDIs have established such coordinating functions, or redefined the mandates of existing organs. The US has the Federal Geographic Data Committee. The establishing circular referred to the "coordination of surveying, mapping and related spatial data activities." Under the "related spatial data activities", it is charged to "coordinate the Federal Government's (of the US) development of the NSDI." The Australia and New Zealand Land Information Council performs similar functions. At the implementation levels, there are state and local government coordinating functions. The province of New Brunswick in Canada created the New Brunswick Geographic Information Corporation (NBGIC). In Victoria, Australia, the Office of Geographic Data Coordination (OGDC) was first set up in the Department of Finance to oversee a government wide GIS planning study (Chan 1998) in 1991. Since then, it amalgamated with other government spatial data agencies and metamorphosed `into Land Victoria, a new` division that is dedicated to the management of GIS/LIS in the State housed in the Department of Natural Resources and Environment.

## Authoritative and Independence Management

It is instructive to observe that the coordinating committees or offices are high level enough to make policy and enforce standards and rules. This is in line with general information management practice. Being the currency of the information age, it requires a dedicated function charged primarily with managing corporate information resources for the good of all. There is a temptation to assign the management responsibility to one of the major producers or consumers of information products. In the case of geospatial information, this is usually the national surveying and mapping (or equivalent) organization. The management responsibility should not be given to such producers or consumers of the information. When this is done, the system over time would evolve to the cater more for the needs of this agency or person.

The recommended practice is for the dedicated management function to be independent of the users, and also be high enough in the organisational structure to participate in policymaking and be able to enforce rules and standards. "Each member agency shall ensure that its representative on the FGDC holds a policy-level position."

This information management paradigm can be compared with financial management arrangements. The major creators of wealth in many countries are departments responsible for mineral resources, tourism and agriculture. The major spending departments are defence, education, health and welfare. Yet none of these creators and spenders is given the mandate to manage the funds of the country. Usually a very independent and very powerful Department of Finance is the funds manager.

## Re-engineering of Spatial Data Industry

Jurisdictions that have been successful in implementing SDI have re-engineered the entire geospatial information industry. Some jurisdictions undertook major restructuring of the departments and agencies that produce, manage and use spatial data. For example, the State of Victoria in Australia started by setting up an Office for Geographic Data Coordination (OGDC) and ended up combining several resource management and land administration functions into Land Victoria. The main area of change has been to move from data ownership to data custodianship. Agencies and organisational units are assigned custodian responsibilities to contribute and maintain specific datasets for the community of users. Privileges and incentives would normally go with such responsibilities.

## Customer Orientation

Another common area of change is to shift from focussing on the requirements of the service providers to the needs of the "customer". A major component of spatial data resources are in domain of government agencies. In the past, governments generally did not think of consumers of their services as customers; they were citizens and should be grateful for the service. While the citizens are grateful, they still want to be satisfied. The result is that they have to come back several times to get bits of service before being satisfied. This results in an inefficient use of resources. Governments are now adopting a customer-centred approach to service delivery. Letting the customer decide on what service they need is not only efficient, but it also ensures success in relevant projects.

## The Awareness Problem

Several studies have pointed to the lack of awareness of the value and role of information in general decision making as a limiting factor in developing spatial data systems in Africa. Most decisions are made on the basis of interest groups and political expediency, rather than on objective decision analysis. This tendency is more acute in spatial decisions. Part of the reason for this is that the traditional visual techniques for processing map data is tedious and limited in scope. The decision makers are not yet aware of the new computer-based techniques. Some that are aware feel intimidated by the technology and do not have the confidence to learn the new concepts and techniques—some truly do not possess the prerequisite knowledge to grasp the new concepts, not having had the opportunity to be exposed to them.

The result of this lack of awareness is the emphasis on more visible and tangible projects like road construction and housing development. It is not always obvious to the decision makers that these projects would be executed more effectively and efficiently if proper planning based on information, were undertaken. Because of this lack of awareness of the role of information, industries and residential developments may be located on prime agricultural land without due consideration to the long term needs of the population, or sensitive land requiring conservation may be farmed intensively further damaging the land. These considerations require various spatial datasets, which cannot be supplied by any one agency alone.

To increase the awareness of the role of information in decisions, government departments responsible for various aspects of land management and rural development should make their decision processes more transparent, and the information input more visible. Often, the decisions are made at the headquarters, without involvement of the local population. All the

local population ever see is the actual implementation of the project, thereby strengthening the impression, wrong as it is, that the important thing is the 'actual work'. There is need for a deliberate policy to make decision makers use more information consciously. This could be done by requiring resource management and spatial services agencies and departments at all levels of government to budget, and account for usage of spatial data in their decision-making processes.

## The Personnel Problem

Mapping and information technology are high technology and require skilled personnel to maintain and use them. The management of spatial information, from data collection through all stages of processing to dissemination and utilisation, are multi-disciplinary and requires trained personnel with various specialisations. These include surveying, geography, cartography, computer graphics, statistics, planning and database management, forestry, agriculture, land systems, and public administration. While professionals with these specialisations may be available in some departments, they are thinly spread and there are still few with enough cross-disciplinary mix required for the maintenance and application of spatial data infrastructures. Most of the training programmes have tended to emphasise the technical aspects of using GIS, and not enough on the holistic infrastructure concepts. Emphases have been on automating and digitalising their operations.

The introduction of new technology into any organisation usually involves retraining of existing staff. However, as mentioned above, some of them do not have the necessary background knowledge to grasp the new concepts. Others may simply be unwilling to learn new things and will resist efforts to introduce the technology.

Another aspect of the personnel problem is the low morale among workers in some countries. Salaries are so low, and conditions so poor, that workers do not see the need to try harder than is necessary. Thus they do not have any incentive to improve the operations of their department, or even to improve themselves by learning new skills. As a result, in-house seminars and workshops organised as part of continuous retraining of staff are poorly attended. Because of the low remuneration, workers may spend all their spare time moonlighting at other jobs to make ends meet, rather than attend any self-improving professional development program.

## Utility Infrastructure

The information infrastructure depends on other utility infrastructures, such as, and especially, electricity and telecommunications. In many countries, electricity is only available in the urban centres, leaving large portions of the country without service. These rural areas are also the subjects of the data in the proposed spatial data infrastructure. In fact, much of the environmental and natural resources data would be about these rural areas. Access to the infrastructure should therefore eventually be provided from these centres.

In some countries, even when electricity is available, the supply is not constant and the frequent power outages and associated surges result in damages to sensitive computer and other equipment. The cost of computerisation therefore usually includes costs of ancillary equipment for stabilising and standby generators, costs that are not incurred in developed countries.

Telecommunications infrastructure is also poorly developed. In many countries majority of the citizens still do not have access to telephones, and the waiting lists for phone services are long. Telecommunications agencies, which are still mainly government monopolies, are still struggling to provide voice lines to more people. The provision of data-enabled high bandwidth lines is therefore not yet a priority. Spatial data sets are usually large in volume, especially if they include images and graphics.

The full SDI is based on computer networks and the Internet. Internet access in Africa is very expensive compared to developed countries, due mainly to the telecommunications costs. In North America, local calls are free and in Australia they are charged per call and not timed. Users in these jurisdictions can therefore stay on the Internet for as long as it takes to search or browse for relevant information. The African user, on the other hand, faced with timed dial-up connections, will tend not to stay long on the Internet.

In spite of these differences in the availability of other enabling infrastructure components, the data collection should still be in accordance with an established national standard for the whole jurisdiction. While the full SDI should be computerised, emphasis should be on the information content, allowing small and/or remote offices to use "information sheets" to collect the information and have them keyed in at higher levels.

## Environmental Information Systems

The major economic activities in Africa are mainly concerned with tourism, agriculture and the production of primary resources. These include forestry products and mining. As with other planning activities, information plays an important role in the management and operational decision-making involved in resource exploitation. Predicting the existence of resources requires information about several aspects of the land and soil. These include biological, physical and chemical properties. Having determined the existence of a particular resource in a particular place, the economic feasibility and environmental and social impacts of exploiting the resource are undertaken. The considerations in these feasibility and impact analyses include the accessibility of the resource location and the relative location of the market for the resulting commodity, the locations and characteristics of other natural resources and settlements that may be affected by the exploitation of the resource in question.

These decisions are spatial in nature and require up to date data. The environmental management community, including resource managers, therefore form an important user community for the SDI. This user-community has long recognised the role of compatible information resources for their "business processes." A program of environmental information systems in Sub-Saharan Africa (EIS-SSA) has since been established. Bassole (2000) reports that these resulted from a "continent wide series of National Environmental Action Plans (NEAP's)" which started in the late 1980s to early 1990s in response to the challenges of striking a balance between economic development and sustainable.

> The aim was to help Sub-Saharan Africa countries create operational Environmental Information Systems which meet priority demands of resources users, planners, and decision makers for a better renewable resources management (Bassole 2000).

The original context of EIS was biased towards the technology. However, early experience in implementing them brought out the importance of "establishing an appropriate institutional

framework to facilitate the generation of environmental data sets." The strategic orientation document of EIS-Africa therefore defines EIS as:

> … a coordination of actions aiming at allowing for a spread use of environmental information in decision making in the framework of sustainable development. It entails an institutional framework, a network of spatial data management facilities, and data/information policies for making environmental data and information accessible and easily used by individuals and decision/policy makers for national, sub-regional, continental or global needs (Bassole 2000).

The procedure adopted by the EIS programme includes seeking out and harmonising available data sets and developing capacity to use them in environmental decision-making. It also includes developing partnerships with organisations with similar objectives.

Even though the term SDI was not used, the programme applied SDI principles and has attempted to provide a broad range of spatial data services that it should have taken for granted had there been a proper SDI environment. With the developing of similar awareness and capacity among other user communities, especially the surveying and mapping community, the custodianship of some of these data sets can be re-assigned to appropriate sectors of the spatial data community, leaving the EIS community to concentrate on environmental management services.

## Cadastres and Land Information

Most economic and subsistence activities on land are organised in spatial units defined by humans. These could be units of ownership, possession, cultivation or administration. Over the centuries, systems have been set up to maintain information for administering land in these units. This is particularly true of ownership and taxation units, which form part of the land tenure and revenue systems respectively. Sophisticated cadastral theories have been developed for the management of these information systems, long before the information revolution. Cadastral systems have been continuously improved and reformed to make them more responsive to the needs of the society. The most far-reaching improvement was the introduction of the multi-purpose cadastre concept, formally linking traditional cadastral information with relevant administrative records into a multipurpose cadastre—hitherto cadastres have been maintained primarily to support land conveyancing (juridical cadastres) and property taxation (fiscal cadastres). At about the same time, the principles of database management were applied to the descriptive information about the ownership, administrative or other proprietary units of land, resulting in land information systems. With advances in information technology, especially GIS technology, computerisation of the graphical or geometrical component of the cadastre became possible, leading to digital cadastral databases (DCDB)

However, the DCDB are not mere computerisation of classical cadastral systems, but a re-engineering of the whole cadastral concept to bring it in line with the information age. Already the multipurpose cadastre concept has established the principles of information sharing and cross-referencing with other records for land administration. The next step in the evolution of the cadastre is the repackaging of the contents of the various cadastres for general public consumption. For example, the services and products offered by the New Brunswick

Geographic Information Corporation (NBGIC) include "Real Property Information and Maps" which (NBGIC nd) …

> … are derived from one, two or all three of the following NBGIC databases:
>
> 1. Digital Property Database provides a graphical display of land parcels in new Brunswic.
>
> 2. Parcel Index Files provide information about the ownership, location, extent, registered documents and survey plans associated with land parcels in New Brunswick.
>
> 3. Assessment Database provides public information about the use and value of real property for purposes of assessment and taxation.

And in Victoria, Australia, property information that can be ordered on line include (Land Victoria nd):

| | |
|---|---|
| Title (copy or Register Search Statement) | Land Information statement (Local Govt.) |
| Copy of Subdivision Plan | Building Regulation statement (Local Govt.) |
| Planning Certificate | National Trust Certificate |
| Water Information statement | House Contract Guarantee Certificate |
| Special Meter Reading (water) | VicRoads Information statement |
| Property Service Plan (Water) | Priority Sites statement (EPA) |
| Heritage Certificate Section 50 | Land Tax Section 97 (State Revenue Office) |

One of the theoretical requirements of a cadastre is publicity and previous generations of the systems had been deemed to satisfy this requirement by virtue of the fact that the records are open to public searches at designated offices. It is only with the current information dissemination technologies, especially the Internet, that the cadastre has really become public. Its full potential is now being realised with the facility to integrate it with other records systems as part of the overall information infrastructure.

With the exception of a few countries in Africa, cadastres have been mainly limited to urban jurisdictions where freehold tenures had been introduced by colonial and settler governments. Efforts have been going on to reform cadastres and land tenure systems to apply cadastres nationally. However, it has been suggested that the cadastre may not be relevant in non urban jurisdictions in Africa (Ezigbalike and Benwell 1994; Ezigbalike 1996). The problem was that technical surveying principles and bias towards the functioning of the land market have driven these reforms. While these were paramount in societies where land transactions form the basis of economic activities, they are barely relevant in others with very little activities in the land market, and non-market driven development priorities. Fourie and Nino-Fluck (1999) have recommended a graphical system with links the cadastral system.

Advances in surveying and information technologies, especially global positioning systems, remote sensing and GIS, have made it easy to establish such information systems that are not necessarily based on ownership and taxation units. With cadastral system now emphasising

information contents and ease of access and dissemination over technical measurement and legal issues, which are receding to the background, their relevance and importance will increase. African countries and organisations developing cadastres and other land records systems should ensure that the system can be related and cross-referenced to other data sets in an infrastructure environment.

While the GIS provides for data sets to be related to each other on the basis of their locations, some applications, like amount outstanding on a utility bill, may be pure require only database functionality, without immediate need for the geometrical or graphical data. These applications may still require cross-referencing of data in different databases, or subsets of the SDI. Such cross-referencing is done with unique parcel numbers. The parcel numbering system is therefore an important component of the SDI. They do not seem to be prominent in the literature on SDI because they have been addressed extensively in the days of land information systems and multipurpose cadastres. However, African jurisdictions did not take part in those discussions and should be aware of the importance of compatible numbering systems for the various land records. It should be stressed that emphasis is on compatibility between the numbering systems rather than trying to find a universally acceptable system. This is because there is no universal land unit that is suitable for all parcel-based applications.

## Streets and Road

One of the biggest mass-market applications of spatial information is in the area of navigation and finding directions. These applications depend on digital street and highway data. These data sets are now being ported to hand held devices and cell phones enabled with wireless applications protocol (WAP). Car manufacturers are now developing prototypes of cars with on board navigation systems, based on road network data. On line directories are now being linked to digital maps, with zoom and pan capabilities. In the Unites States for instance, a big industry is developing to produce these data sets for cities and towns. The industry is expected to grow as demand extends to more towns and cities, and to keep the data sets up to date.

Africa is a net importer of these technologies. Maps of Africa cities will also be required to be loaded on these products being exported to Africa. Without these maps being available, we may end up buying products with maps of European and American cities, or paying extra to have the features excluded, since production lines would have been standardized at that level of technology.

Governments, professional organisations and industry groups should encourage the spatial data industry to invest in road network data sets. These data sets are also used in delivery and collection services, like package delivery and utilities billing and customer services. However, some major African cities, even some national and State/Provincial capitals, do not have street addresses. Some of these cities may have unique plot numbers, which while very important for cross-referencing information in parcel-based databases, as described above, are not suitable for giving directions. In other jurisdictions, the two systems complement each other. Municipal authorities and planning organisations in Africa should be well advised to review their street numbering system for digital data applications.

## Remote Sensing

Usually spatial data sets are developed, by converting existing maps and other data into digital form. Many African countries have not maintained their mapping programs and available maps may be as old as 30 years. Much development has taken place in that time which is

undocumented, especially with the low use of information in their planning. The ideal solution to the problems of non-existent or inadequate maps would be to embark on fresh mapping programs. However, where this is done by traditional mapping techniques, the cost simply renders the option not feasible. Moreover, many African countries do not have dense enough control points for this option. The alternative is therefore to supplement whatever is available with satellite remote sensing images. Two case studies demonstrate how this has been done in Rwanda and Uganda respectively (Hardy 1987; Otto et al. 1987). Since then, the capabilities of remote sensing systems have improved. The IKONOS-2 satellite of Space Imaging can sample the ground at one metre resolution.

> This level of resolution enables satellite imagery to be used as a data source for the wide-range of applications that otherwise requires expensive aerial surveys to be flown. In addition data can readily be acquired for projects in remote regions of the world and areas where access is restricted. (NPA 2000)

"With a pointable sensor, image acquisition attempts can be made in as little as 1-3 days (cloud cover permitting)" (NPA 2000). Remote sensing is therefore no longer a mere supplement for inadequate data. It is now used as a source of timely spatial data for spatial decision analysis. Mason (1998) examined the potential of remote sensing as a source of spatial information for the management of informal settlements. Even if maps were available, they would not have been adequate for this purpose because informal settlements are very dynamic and any mapped data would have been out dated. And ad hoc data collection would not have been adequate either because of the cost and time, and may be technically very difficult due to the high density of small structures. The project also examined the automatic extraction of the "shacks" from the images.

African countries should therefore consider remote sensing as a main source of spatial data, on equal footing with other data sources. This is especially important because the products are already in digital form and allow other processing that traditional data sources do not allow.

## Financial Constraints

Many African countries are struggling to balance their budgets. Demands on the available funds are therefore rationalised. Information management projects, including geospatial information, usually do not rank high on the list of priorities. This is mainly due to the low information awareness discussed above.

The financial constraints are compounded by the fact that the software, hardware and other equipment associated with the collection and maintenance of spatial information are not manufactured in Africa, and have to be imported. This means that their prices are comparatively high. Moreover, much of the funds required for mapping and GIS projects would be in foreign currency, which is in short supply due to balance of payment problems. Other issues discussed above, such as mapping and utility infrastructure, also have a financial aspect. For example, the poor communication network in many countries, which impedes the sharing of data thereby denying GIS users the benefits that accrue from data sharing, will be alleviated if there are more funds available.

The obstacle of insufficient funding of geospatial data activities remains intractable because there is simply not enough money available to African governments to invest extensively in associated technologies. However, if the top decision makers appreciate the importance of

information, especially geospatial information, in formulating and achieving their goals, they will give it the attention it deserves.

Presently donors fund many of the existing GIS in Africa on a project basis. These projects and donations are however not coordinated and the duplication associated with lack of coordination diminishes the utility of the donations. Coordination of these donations as well as the collective evaluation of their impacts will bring about greater utility of the donations, and attract more external funding for geospatial activities as donors appreciate its potential benefits.

## Cost Recovery

Given the scarcity of funds to invest in creating the data sets and acquiring other related technology, the funding of the spatial data infrastructure becomes an important issue to address. Dale (1995, 52), referring to the computerisation of cadastral data, points out that the expectation of significant increase in productivity may not justify the level of investment required and suggests that it may be necessary:

> … to recover more of the capital costs through the sharing of data and by the sale of the information gathered. Information is a marketable resource and some of the cost can be recovered by selling data to the private sector.

In a discussion paper for the then Office of Geographic Data Coordination (OGDC), FDF (1996) reviewed the pricing models in North America, Europe and Australia. In the United States, the Federal Government provides data at little or no cost and without a restrictive licensing condition for their supply. The result is "a thriving commercial sector selling value-added products based largely on government data. … The catch is that the data are currently at a low level of accuracy and indifferent quality." It was noted that this approach resulted in extensive coverage and detail, and assess as "ideal as a basis for creating value-added products."

Canada has different pricing policies from the United States. The pricing principles are based on transactions, with a government organisation being set up as a broker between information suppliers. And example is the NBGIC whose property information may be drawn from three separate databases. This is possible because of the strong monopoly positions enjoyed by the government cadastral agencies. However, competition developed both within and without the government. With this pricing model, break-even was achieved in 18 months.

In Europe the common pricing models then to incur substantial costs for the use of spatial data. In the United Kingdom (UK) for instance, "the government policy for the OS (Ordnance Survey) is explicitly to recover full cost." While this approach has yielded significant revenues, it has also created major barriers and it was not clear "that this has been of overall benefit to the countries concerned."

In Australia, the principles are summarised by agreements of the Australia and New Zealand Land Information Council (ANZLIC). These principles "commit governments to data exchange at transfer cost, but still provide for charging for value-added products." There are three levels of charging: full commercial charges for some datasets, transfer cost charges for others, and no-charge provision for teaching and non-commercial research. Phillips (1998) reports that in Victoria,

> A new pricing policy for geospatial information is to be introduced in Victoria which has the aims of achieving the greatest possible use of GI (Geospatial Information) and creating and stimulating the growth of the markets using GI …

> The new pricing policy has taken the approach that if the price of the information is affordable to all those who may wish to use it, enough customers will purchase it to allow the custodian to recover their costs. The new lower prices will help to achieve the two aims of greater usage and growth in the markets, and it will also encourage "non-traditional" users of GI to become involved in the GI industry, thus increasing the health of the industry.

The appropriate pricing model for Africa should also have the above aims of greater usage and growth in the market. However, following the American model strictly will still leave the question of initial funding unanswered. The European full cost recovery models would stifle the development of the spatial information industry, especially with the awareness problem that exists in Africa. The Canadian transaction model gradually recoups the cost over a reasonable time, but the network and communications infrastructure needed for those are still not available in Africa.

With respect to Africa, the awareness problem discussed above would seem to favour the American model. The immediate needs for spatial data do not call for the very high accuracy of European models. More important requirements should be extensive coverage, use of the products and stimulation of the economy. However, the financial constraints preclude a total no-charge approach. So aspects of the Australasian approach should be adopted and some data sets where the market has been established should be charged, with free access to educational and research organisations to enable them address the personnel problem.

Furthermore, the brokerage aspect of the Canadian approaches should be considered, but with the change that the broker need not be a government organisation. Experience with other services on the continent suggests that government monopolies are inefficient. So, as further efforts to stimulate the spatial data economy and encourage private sector cooperation, the brokerage level could be licensed to a few large private corporations with the means to add-value to the base products before re-selling. These corporations will then invest in developing the components of the infrastructure with a profit motive, overseen and regulated by the government.

## Self Analysis

Implemented SDIs have been preceded by several studies, sometimes conducted by international consultants. However, even the best consultants cannot manufacture success if the local practitioners are not fully involved in it. It is also important that before the consultants are engaged, there should be a preliminary description of the problem and expectations of the project. Therefore, an in-house preliminary problem analysis should be undertaken before engaging consultants. It may be discovered after the supposed preliminary in-house analysis that there is really no need for an external consultant.

In line with experience in the successful jurisdictions, the geospatial information industry in Africa should embark on an analysis of its role in, and impact on, the overall economy. Such a study will then help it to decide their future directions and whether an international consultant

is required. It should be pointed out here that the consultant who is engaged to set strategy should not be mandated to implement the strategy. Defining the direction and strategy should be a separate project from implementing the strategy.

Whenever any study is to be done on the geospatial information industry, one of the things the consultant or researcher will need is an inventory of spatial data resources. This will include the available spatial datasets and capabilities for processing them. Other descriptive attributes of the datasets are also necessary. That is, we need an inventory of spatial datasets in the country; together such descriptive attributes that will enable us decide the potential value of each dataset in a data sharing arrangement. That is, each dataset has to be described to enable a potential user decide on its usefulness.

The major spatial service providers who produce and use most of the spatial data should classify and tag their data elements. Data used and produced by major spatial services organisations should be identified and documented.

Phillips (1998) classified the data used in such virtual databases into five types:

Type I:    Remote Data; stored at some remote site and the user has read-only access. Somebody else provides this data set to the community of users as type II.

Type II:   Local Public Data; stored and maintained by the user with read-only access to authorised outside users. This user is the assigned custodian of this data set, which others access as type I.

Type III:  Local Private Data; stored and maintained by the user for purely local use. It could be that there is no outside interest or that the data set is confidential.

Type IV:   Remote Modifiable Data; user can access and modify the data at a remote site. As the infrastructure develops, some data providers may not have enough computing power to serve the data directly to the community. These data sets would be stored at a remote site where the provider has read/write access privileges.

Type V:    Local Remotely Modifiable Data; stored at a users machine for some outside provider who has maintenance privileges over the data.

Such classification will help identify redundancies and omissions. It will also help ensure that the community is served from the most suitable source. African national and continental spatial data organisations should review their spatial data management strategies to ensure that their data collections and services will fit into the appropriate level of the SDI. Ezigbalike et al (2000) recommend that:

> While we do not necessarily have to change our terminology to use SDI terms, we should ensure that all spatial data collection projects (including surveying and mapping) are henceforth planned for an SDI environment. However, since we do not yet have the SDI in place, we should then translate the plans into 'transitional' arrangements

# Conclusion

This paper started out to discuss the "future orientation of GIS in Africa". It was established early in the paper that the future orientation of GIS does not lie in the technology itself, but in its use to process data to support spatial decisions and services.

Experience in some African countries suggests that emphasis on the technology might result in the acquisition of hardware, software and peripherals with no clear plans on how to use them. Others might go a step further and use the technology to digitise maps and simply automate map productions, creating large digital databases, which would be locked away for departmental use, with all the flaws of the present manual systems.

Following experience in other jurisdictions, emphasis should be placed on data management. The vision is to ensure that spatial data permeates every aspect of society and that they are available to people who need them, when they need them, and in a form that they can use to make decisions with minimal pre-processing. Also the collected data sets should be put to the maximum possible uses by publicising their existence and making them easily available to the widest possible audience. The most efficient and effective way to achieve these two related objectives is to establish spatial data infrastructures, using GIS technology to maintain and exploit the SDI. The future orientation of GIS in Africa is therefore as a ubiquitous tool that is integrated into the SDI concept, rather than as an end in itself.

# Recommendations for Immediate Action

| Activity | Justification | Implication |
|---|---|---|
| Introduce the concept of information budgeting | Information is the currency of the new e-economy and has to be budgeted like other resources. | A project proposal will be deemed as incomplete if it is not accompanied by a breakdown of data needs, including expected processing and any information products. |
| Identify lead agency or person to coordinate further development, including the establishment of a formal coordinating mechanism | There must be a dedicated responsibility to ensure that information required for the preliminary review (below) is compiled. | This initial coordinator should be excused from other duties for the duration of the initial study to concentrate on the study. |

| Activity | Justification | Implication |
|---|---|---|
| Conduct a series of workshops to explain and publicise the SDI concepts | Most of the staff associated with the production and processing of spatial data are aware of GIS and automation, but may not understand their position in an SDI environment. Some may be afraid of losing their influence, while others may have undue expectations of the concept. | The workshops have to be participatory, role-playing workshops, rather than listening lectures. Small groups and sector-specific sessions will be more effective. This will involve more money and time to organise and conduct. Examples pertinent to the sector or community have to be used. |
| Start national review of spatial data needs and available data sets. | Such review will help identify gaps and duplication of efforts. It will also help in assigning custodianship roles. The result will be used by future in-depth studies. The review will also recommend members of the steering committee. | Every spatial data producing and spatial service-providing organisation should appoint a contact person immediately. Adapt the forms below for the exercise. All agencies and organisations producing spatial data sets, or providing spatial services must complete the forms. Review must include on-going spatial data procurement (and mapping) projects. |
| Develop web-based self-learning material on spatial data utilisation and SDI advantages | There is need for continued learning to keep abreast of the developments in the field. IT-related concepts, including and especially spatial information, are in a state of flux and practitioners in the field should have access to new material. | Educational institutions should be encouraged to introduce more self-learning early to inculcate that habit. Providers of geospatial education should adapt their existing courses and make them available on the web. Collaboration should be encouraged among African institutions and with external institutions |

| Activity | Justification | Implication |
| --- | --- | --- |
| Where no coordinating structure already exists, establish a working group, drawn from the major stakeholders in the spatial data industry, to coordinate development of SDI. Representatives on the group must be senior enough to make binding decisions on behalf of their organisations. | There is need for a body to steer the reform of the spatial data industry. The body must have a reasonable degree of independence. | It may be necessary to engage a consultant to carry out a more detailed analysis of the spatial data industry. The government should be open to the possibility of major departmental restructuring. |

**Appendix 1: Information requirements by geospatial issues and decisions involved (to be completed by person(s) who have a good overview of geospatial data activities in the country)**

**(examples of issues and decision areas; let experts at meeting add to list, maybe column for expected processing and intermediate products)**

| Issue | Decisions/Actions Involved | Information requirements | Format/medium |
|---|---|---|---|
| Health Facilities | Establishment and equitable distribution of health facilities | • Demographic data<br>• Capacities of existing facilities<br>• Spatial locations of facilities and population centers<br>• … | • |
| Educational institutions | • Establishment and equitable distribution of institutions | • | • |
| Malaria, leichmaniasis, onchocerciasis, Schistosomiasis<br><br>(identify other health issues and enter rows for them) | WHO strategy: early diagnosis, prompt treatment and environmental management; hygiene education | • statistics on cases, spatially distributed<br>• distribution of vectors<br>• spatial distribution of water pockets for remedial action<br>• water courses: spatial locations, flow and chemical characteristics | • |
| Land classification and land use planning | Classify land according to characteristics and optimum land use | • climatic data<br>• soil data<br>• topographic data<br>• vegetation cover | • |
| Land allocation | Allocate land to citizens for various uses | • land classification info to ensure compatibility of use with allocated land<br>• population statistics | • |
| Land resource use and management | Ensure that land is used for designated use | • cadastre showing allocated users and uses, continuously updated<br>• Administrative land records<br>• Legal land registers | • |

**Appendix 1: Information requirements by geospatial issues and decisions involved (to be completed by person(s) who have a good overview of geospatial data activities in the country)**

**(examples of issues and decision areas; let experts at meeting add to list, maybe column for expected processing and intermediate products)**

| Issue | Decisions/Actions Involved | Information requirements | Format/medium |
|---|---|---|---|
| Infrastructure and urban management | Efficient and equitable provision of urban services | • population statistics<br>• statistics and location of existing services: waste disposal, water and power installations<br>• urban road networks | • |
| Transportation | Provide energy-efficient and safe transport system | • statistics of trips between population and employment centres<br>• topographic data<br>• geophysical data | • |
| Tourism | Develop and promote eco-tourism | • existing tourist facilities and capacities<br>• statistics on tourist preferences | • |
| Sewage discharge | Prevent discharge of untreated sewage into the sea | • statistics on coastal population centres<br>• location and capacities of existing sewage treatment facilities in coastal towns | • |
| Oil pollution | Prepare for oil spill emergencies | • … | • |
| Industrial wastes | Monitor dumping of industrial wastes and compliance with environmental regulations | • Location of industries and data about their products and input raw materials | • |
| Toxic metals | prevent contamination of the environment | • … | • |
| Surface water | Management of water resources as finite resource | • Water bodies and courses with flow and condition data | • |
| Ground water | Management of ground water to supplement water needs | • geophysical data on rock formations<br>• climatic data on precipitation and evaporation | • |

**Appendix 1: Information requirements by geospatial issues and decisions involved (to be completed by person(s) who have a good overview of geospatial data activities in the country)**

**(examples of issues and decision areas; let experts at meeting add to list, maybe column for expected processing and intermediate products)**

| Issue | Decisions/Actions Involved | Information requirements | Format/medium |
|---|---|---|---|
| Water conservation | Ensure sustained use of water for domestic, industrial and agric uses | • Water bodies, water courses, farming systems,<br>• consumption statistics | • |
| Land Degradation | • Enforce land use practices to reduce land degradation<br>• Ensure security of tenure<br>• Combat desertification | • Land use data<br>• land cover<br>• management practices<br>• population data<br>• climatic data<br>• land allocation<br>• soils<br>• land tenure data (legal land registers) | • |
| Range land and livestock | Livestock Production | • livestock statistics<br>• agro-ecological zones | • |
| Forest Resources | • Alternatives to biomass energy<br>• Afforestation and conservation<br>• land husbandry | • Land use classification<br>• vegetation cover<br>• census of endangered species<br>• population data<br>• location of fuel-energy intensive industries<br>• location population densities | • |
| Biological diversity | • Conservation of unique and endangered ecosystems<br>• National parks, nature reserves and protected areas<br>• Implementation of CITES | • distribution and concentration of unique flora and fauna | • |

| Appendix 1: Information requirements by geospatial issues and decisions involved (to be completed by person(s) who have a good overview of geospatial data activities in the country) | | | |
|---|---|---|---|
| (examples of issues and decision areas; let experts at meeting add to list, maybe column for expected processing and intermediate products) | | | |
| **Issue** | **Decisions/Actions Involved** | **Information requirements** | **Format/medium** |
| Energy Resources | • Reduce dependence on biomass energy<br>• Develop renewable energy sources | • population and socio-economic data<br>• climatic data for solar and wind power developments<br>• forest resources<br>• geophysical data | • |
| Mineral Deposits | Efficient and environmentally-friendly exploitation of minerals | • distribution of mineral deposits<br>• administrative data on exploration and prospecting activities | • |
| … | | • | • |

| Appendix 2: Information availability by types  (to be completed by every department and agency deemed to be involved in geospatial activities, no matter how slight) | | | |
|---|---|---|---|
| **Data sets** | **Where available** | **Use in decision making** | **Main users** |
| Geodetic controls | | | |
| Cadastre | | | |
| Elevations | | | |
| Administrative boundaries | | | |
| Transportation | | | |

| | | | |
|---|---|---|---|
| Utility networks | | | |
| Soils | | | |
| … | | | |

# References

ANZLIC (1996). Anzlic Guidelines: Core Metadata Elements Version 1. Report of Anzlic Working Group on Meta Data.

--- (1997). Core Metadata Elements for Land and Geographic Directories in Australia and New Zealand, The Australian New Zealand Land Information Council.

Bassole, A. (2000). Eis-Africa: A Network for the Co-Operative Management of Environmental Information in Africa, EIS-Africa: 24.

Benton Foundation (1995). Promise and Performance: Industry's Vision for the Information Superhighway. **2000**.

Chan, T. O. (1998). The Dynamics of Diffusion of Corporate Gis. Department of Geomatics. Melbourne, Australia, The University of Melbourne: 225.

Codd, E. F. (1990). *The Relational Model for Database Management*. Reading, Mass., Addison-Welsely.

Coleman, D. and J. McLaughlin (1997). "Information Access and Network Usage in the Emerging Spatial Information Marketplace." *Journal of Urban and Regional Information Systems Association* **9**(1).

Dale, P. (1995). *Cadastral Surveys and Records of Rights in Land*. Rome, FAO.

Ezigbalike, I. C. (1996). "Integrated 'Cadastre' for Rural  Africa." *South African Journal of Surveying and Mapping* **6**(23): 345-52.

Ezigbalike, I. C. and G. B. Benwell (1994). "Cadastral "Reform"--at What Cultural Costs to Developing Countries." *Australian Surveyor* **39**(3): 177-86.

Ezigbalike, I. C., S. Faiz, et al. (2000). "Spatial Data Infrastructure: Is Africa Ready?". Fourth Spatial Data Infrastructure Conference, Cape Town, South Africa.

FDF (1996). Pricing Principles for Digital Geographic Data. Melbourne, Australia, Office of Geographic Data Co-ordination: 35.

FGDC (1996). The National Spatial Data Infrastructure, Federal Geographic Data Committee (US).

--- (nd). Clearing House Questions and Answers, Federal Geographic Data Committee. **2000**.

Fourie, C. and O. Nini-Fluck (1999). "Cadastre and Land Information Systems for Decision-Making in Developing Countries". International conference on land tenure and cadastral infrastructure for sustainable development, Melbourne, Australia, FIG.

Gore, A. (1998). The Digital Earth: Understanding Our Planet in the 21st Century (Speech Given at the California Science Center, Los Angeles, California, on January 31, 1998), Open GIS Consortium. **2000**.

Hardy, E. E. (1987). "Data Preparation for a Gis in a Developing Country Situation". Second Annual Conference on Geogrpahical Information Systems, San Francisco.

Kildow (1996). The Value of Metadata (a Nsdi Report), US Fisheries and Wildlife Service.

Korth, H. F. and A. Silberschatz (1991). *Database Systems Concepts*. Singapore, McGraw-Hill.

Land Victoria (nd). Property Information, Deaprtment of Natural Resources and Environment. **2000**.

Linnet (2000). The Land Information Navigator, Linnet. **2000**.

Longman (1984). *The Longman Dictionary of the English Language*. Harlow, UK, Longman.

Mason, S. and C. Fraser (1998). "Image Sources for Informal Settlement Management." *Photogrammetric Record* **16**(92): 313-30.

McKee, L. (1996). Building the Gsdi, The Open GIS Consortium.

McLaughlin, J. and S. Nichols (1993). Toward the Development of a National Spatial Data Infrastructure. *Toward a Coordinated Spatial Data Infrastructure for the Nation*. Washington, DC, National Academy of Sciences.

NBGIC (nd). The New Brunswick Geographic Information Corporation, NBGIC. **2000**.

NPA (2000). Satellite Mapping and Exploration, NPA Group. **2000**.

Otto, S., F. Turyatunga and R. Witt (1987). "Environmental Database Development for Assessment of Deforestation, Soil Erosion Hazards and Crop Suitability: A Joint Uganda-Unep/Gems/Grid Case Study". Second Annual Conference on Geogrpahical Information Systems, San Francisco.

Phillips, A. H. (1998). A Metadata Management System for Web Based Sdis. Department of Geomatics. Melbourne, Australia, The University of Melbourne.

Polley, I. and I. P. Williamson (1997). "Gis, the Internet and the Cadastre -- Coming Together." *The Australian Surveyor* **44**(2): 183-88.

Rockefeller, S. C. (1996). Principles of a Sustainable Society, Caring for the Earth: A Strategy for Sustainable Living. *In Principles of Environmental Conservation and Sustainable Development: Summary and Survey: A Study in the Field of International Law and Related International Reports*. San Jose, Costa Rica, Earth Charter Project, Earth Council**:** 129-31.

Williamson, I. P. (1999). "Future Directions of Spatial Information Management in Australia--a Land Administration Perspective". AURISA '99, Blue Mountains, NSW, Australia.

Wilson, E. (1998). Manuals Go Click. The Age. Melbourne, Australia.