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## Comparative analysis of accuracy of census age

distribution for selected African countries

## Introduction

I. The objective of the paper is to test the accuracy of the census age distribution of selected African countries 1/. The selection of the countries was based mainly on the availabiiity of two age distributions obtained preferably during the 1960 and 1970 rounds of censuses; however, a few countries with one census age distribution tabulated in single years have been included for the caleulation $\dot{O}$ measures of digit preferences. Obviously all tests of age accuracy available $2 /$ were not applied for practical reasons; for the data tabulated in five year age groups the method was the same as used by the United Nations Secretariat, and for the data tabulated in single years; Myer's method was used.
2. In general it is agreed that errors which coind affect the regularity of a census sage distribution can be of three types : mistatement of age, incomplete enimeraticn at pariticular ages, and failures to report ages. In some African countries, however; a fourth type can be introduced by the underestimation or overestimation of age by the interviewer. Moreover, these types of errors may vary from census to census and from country to country. It will aiso be noted that the specific questions asked on age only, date of birth: age at last birthday or age at next birthday either singly or in combination will have a certain effect on the age distribution, particularly on, single year age distributior.
3. Certain legal: regulations may tend to distort the reported ages. Among these may be cited the minimum age for school entry; the age of compulsory military service, etc.
4. As $=$ note of caution, it may be mentioned that, for a given country data from tivo censuses may not be always reac̣ily comparable because of differences in geographical soverage, ethnic; coverage, population coverage (de jure or de facto) ; in: addition natural disasters and other unsettling conditions (inciuding. wars, declared or not) leading to upheavals of population may take place between the two censuses, and affect the population size and structure.

## Age siructire of selected countries

5n Table l, gives for the selected African countries, at two census dates, the population oi the total population in three age groupe : 0m15, 15-54 and 55 and over and also the median age and the dependency ratio.

I/ For sake of conparison data from selected Asian and Latin American countries are included in the study; the list of countries covered by the paper are given in Annex $I$.

2/ A list, of selected refererces is gïven in Annex II.

Table 1. Percentage of the total population in broad age groups, median age and dependency ratio

|  | Algeria | Eostwana | Gbana | Kenya | Libya | Morocco |  | Tanzaria |  | Tunistia |  | Uganda |  | Zambia |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| (years) | 19541960 | 19641971 | 19601970 | 19621969 | 19541964 | 1960 | 1971 | 1957 | 1967 | 1956 | 1966 | 1.959 | 1969 | 196319 |  |
| 0-15 | 43.47 | 4446 | $45 \quad 47$ | 4648 | $38 \quad 44$ | 35 | 46 | 43 | 44 | 41 | 46 | 41 | 46 | 45 | 47 |
| 15-64 | 54.48 | 5249 | 5249 | $49^{1 / 46}$ | 56 * 51 | 61 | 49. | - 54 | 50 | 55 | 50 | 57 | 50 | 51 3/ |  |
| $65+$ | $3: 5$ | 45 | $\because 34$ | $6^{2 / 6}$ | 6. 5 | 4 | 5 | 3 | 6 | 4 | 4 | 2 | 4 | $4^{4}$ |  |
| Median <br> age (years) | ) $19 \therefore 17$ | $18 \cdot 17$ | $25 \quad 17$ | $17 \quad 16$ | $22 \quad 19$ | 19 | 17 | 19 | 18 | 20 | 17 | 20 | 17 | 18 | 17 |
| Dependency ratio | 84.107 | 93.106. | $91 \quad 102$ | 105116 | $79 \quad 95$ | 94 | 103 | 80 | 89 | 83 | 99 | 77 | 100 | 95 | 95 |

Notes : $\frac{1}{2} / 15-59$ instead of 15-64
2/ $60+$ instead of $65+$
3/ 15-54 instead of 15-64
4/ $55+$ instead of $65+$
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6. A common feature to all the countries, between their two censuses, is an increase of the proportion of the population under 15 years of age and a corresponding decrease in that of the population aged between 15 and 64 years; the share of the population aged 65 and over stays for most of the countries almost at the same level. This results in a decrease of the median age and an increase of the dependency ratio; it will be noted, howev:r, that the sharp decline in the median age of Ghana, Libya, Tunisia, and Uganda cannot be solely explained by the level of fertility.

## Sex ratio

7. Table 2 gives the sex ratio (number of males per 100 females) for successive quinquennial age groups, the total population and the sex ratio score $1 /$ obtained during the 1960 and the 1970 rounds of censuses for selected Africen countries; it also gives the same data for selected Asian and Latin American countries for a given year for the sake of comparison.
8. Of the eight African countries three showed an excess of males during the 1960 censuses and five during the 1970 censuses. Tunisia shows a deficit of males in 1956 and an excess in 1966, but it will be noted that the published number of females in 1956 was adjusted for an under-enumeration of 160,104 females; if this amount is excluded the ratio will become 107.2 males per 100 females. Ghana shows an excess of males in 1960 and a deficit in 1970; in the light of the decrease of most of the quinquennial sex ratios this indicates that the people who emigrated from Ghana between 1960 and 1970 were predominantly males. If we exclude Libya with a sex ratio of 107.9 in 1954 and 108.3 in 1964 and the sex ratios of Botswana which are heavily affected by emigration to South Africa, particularly of males, the sex ratios for the two rounds of censuses ranges from 92.6 to 104.3 .
9. Considering the quinquennial sex ratios (see also figure I ), one notes that for the eight selected African countries the sex ratio increases from age group $0-4$ to $10-14$ and this for the two rounds of censuses (the exception of Tanzania and Tunisia for their 1957 and 1956 census may be due to the fact that the published data were already graduated and smoothed); the same phenomenon is noted for India and Pakistan; this increase in sex ratio looks rather surprising particularly for the countries which show a deficit of males in the age group $0-4$ and an excess in the age group 5-9 and 10-14. These age groups are not usually affected by migration and as a decrease of sex ratio would have been expected one can safely assume that the observed increase in sex ratio is due to under-enumeration or age mistatement; or both, of females.
10. If we compare the sex ratios at age $10-14$ to those at ages 15-19 and 20-24 one is further tempted to assume that girls of age $10-14$ overstated their age in most eases; anyway it is the depletion of females that very often explains the high sex ratios observed (for the 1960 censuses, 111 in Ghana, 114 in Algeria, 120 in Kenya and Libya, 132 in Morocco); for the same countries this sex ratio in the 1970 censuses is $105,110,107,117$ and 112 respectively, this is an improvement although the values are still high. If we consider the sex ratios at

1/ See "Accuracy tests for census age distributions tabulated. in five-year and ten-year groups", United Nations, Population Bulletin No. 2, October 1952, U.N. publication, sales No. 1952.XIII.4, pp. 59-79.
 countries for a given year


| 1970 round of censuses |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Algeria | Bostwana 2 | Ghena | Kenya | Libya | Morocco | Tanzania | Tunisia |
| 102.8 | 97.0 | 99.1 | 101.1 | 103.0 | 102.4 | 99.8 | 103,8 |
| 103.1 | . 98.9 | 100.8 | 103.2 | 104:5 | 103.3 | 102.8 | 107.5 |
| 109.7 | 96.9 | 105.4 | 106.6 | 113.6.1. | 111.9 | 113.5 | 110.2 |
| 102.0 | 82.0 | 105.3 | 103.1 | 103.7 | 105.5 | 91.6 | 101.8 |
| 95.1 | 64.9 | 81.4 | 95.0 | 107.9 | 91.3 | 71.1 | 94.2 |
| 93.3 | 73.1 | 84.9 | 84.8 | 100.5 | 80,0 | 82.1 | 91.8 |
| 92.6 | 79.8 | 102.1 | 94.2 | 106.1 | 78.5 | 90.9 | 95.5 |
| 98.0 | 78.7 | 99.3 | 96.0 | 115:9 | 92.7 | 103.9 | 100.5 |
| 97.4 | 87.0 | 112.5 | 96.01 | 106.6 | 90.1 | 95.7 | 102.2 |
| 103.6 | 87.8 | 107.1 | 105.3 | 112,1 | 115.4 | 111.4 | 110.7 |
| 99.9 | 97.5 | 107.1 | 95.2 | 114.8 | 107.0 | 98.9 | 114.7 |
| 110.4 | 91.2 | 115.8 | 112.2 | 124.1 | 149.4 | 108.9 | 121.2 |
| 98.4 | 89.5 | 105.9 | 108.4 | 127.6 | 90.1 | 95.7 | 112.3 |
| 105.1 | 87.5 | 102.71 | 117.8 | 118.9 | i17.7 |  | 123.0 |
| 83.8 | 77.8 | 204.01 | 105.4 | 123.3 | 107.3 | 79.2 | 110.9 |
| 100.8 | 87.7 | 98.5 | 100.4 | 108.3 | 1.00,2 | 97.5 | 104.3 |
| 5.3 | 6.2 | 7.21 | 7.7 | 7.0 | 17.1 | 12.3 | 5.7 |


| Ceylon | India | $\left\lvert\, \begin{aligned} & \text { Iran } \\ & 1966\end{aligned}\right.$ | Pakistan | Argentina | Colombia |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 102,6 | 100.8 | 108.4 | 100.5 | 102.6 | 102.5 |
| 102.0 | 104.7 | 107.31 | 108.4 | 102.3 | 102.6 |
| 104.? | 114.1 | 1.12.9 | 125.6 | 101.3 | 102.5 |
| 102.8 | 107.6 | 99.2 | 106.6 | 97.9 | 89.9 |
| 100.7 | 95.1 | 89.1 | 100.6 | 97.3 | 89.9 |
| 1102.0 | 102.3 | 94.5 | 103.9 | 98.9 | 89.2 |
| il2.3 | 107. | $107 \cdot 3$ | 110.0 | 98.1 | $94 \%$ |
| 1110.7 | 114.7 | 116.7 | 121.3 | 99.9 | 92.1 |
| 121.7 | 112.2 | 126.5 | 115.6 | 99.6 | 100.3 |
| 125.3 | 17.0 | 131.6 | 126.2 | 100,4 | 96.8 |
| 127.5 | 114.4 | 100.0 | 123.3 | 104.7 | 102.4 |
| 137.2 | 116.1 | 109.4 | 133.8 | 107.1 | 101.6 |
| 134;8 | 103.1 | 105.7 | 128.5 | 101.4 | 93.0 |
| 129.1 | 103.9 | 120.3 | 129.2 | 100.4 | 94.7 |
| 116.2 | 94.0 | 120.3 | 127.2 | 84.9 | 79.4 |
| 108.2 | 106.2 | 107. ${ }^{1}$ | 111.1 | 100.0 | 97.1 |
| 4.2 | 5.9 | 20.0 | 8.2 | 1.8 | 3.8 |

Notes : 1 / Publisined data were graduated and smoothed. 2. African population only.
age 10-i4 for the selected Asian countries, we note that in relation to those of age groups 15-19 and 20-24; the pattern of distortions seems to be same as the one prevailing in African countries:
il. Over age 20, an explanation of difference between sex ratio from one age group to another becomes more complex as beside mortality differentials which normally lower the sex ratio one is confronted with effects of migration and still with those of age, mistatements, under-enumeration; eic. The rapid drop in sex ratio between age $15-19$ and age 20-24 of atout 20 per cent in many. cases indicates that many women really aged 15-19 have been enurerated as aged 20-24 (a rapid drop jis aiso observed for India and Pakistan during their 1961 census, 107.6 to $95 . \mathrm{J}$. and 106.6 to 100.6 respective! y); severai factors can be advanced for this, among others, attainment of the legal age of marriage, enumerators overestimating the age of those having borne children etc.-
12. Between ages 30 and 50 , upwards and downwards variations are noted from age group to age groun for all. the countries (see figure $\overline{\mathrm{I}}$ ) but in nost cases: no abnormal. ratios are observed particularly in view of the fact that in certain cases migration, beside certain events; has affected or still affects seriousiy the age distribution.
13. Fron age 55 the situation'becomes more obscure and one finds it difficult to explain the fact that in many cases (for the two rourds of censuses) the sex ratios at age 55-59 are higher than those of age $50-54$ and that those of age 65-69 are higher than those of age 60-64. For Ceylon, India, Iran, Pakistan and Argentina sex ratios of age 55-59 higher than the ratios of age 50-54 are observed. Age $65-59$ sex ratios higher than those of age $60-64$ are observed for India, Iran; Pakistain and Colombia. In some instances the ser ratios are so higit that they can hardly to acceptable; they were for the 1960 round of sensuses: at age 55-59; 131.9 for Algeria, 122.5 for Ghana 130:9, For Kenyay 151.9 for Libya, 145.3 for Morucco, $13 \% .2$ for Ceylon, 133.8 for Pakistan; for the same countries for age $65-69$ they were $129.4,213.3,1.28 .11 /$; $1.37 .7,14060,129.1$ and 129.2 respectively.
14. For the 1970 round of censuses, at age $55-59$ the sex ratio is 110.4 for A?.geria, 115.8 for Ghana, 124.1 for Libya, 149.4 for Morocco and 221.2 fo: Tunisia; for the same countries for age $55-69$ they were $98.4,105.9,127.6$, 90.1 and il2.3 respectively. Except for Morocco at age 55-59 the sex ratios are lower ir the 1970 censuses than in the 1960 censuses; those for Tunisia are higher but this may be due to the fact that the published data of the 1956 census were aiready adjusted and smoothed.
15. At age 70 and over, the high sex ratios observed for some of the countries are sonewhat suspicious; indeed where it is expected to have an excess of femaies one is surprised to find sex ratio as high as 123.3 (Libya) and 127.2 (Pakistan).
16. Considering the sex ratio scores, their levels indicate the presence of widespread errors; however from one census to another all countries seem to have showr some improvement. One has, however; to keep in mird the fact

1/ For age 60 and over.
that errors in age distribution may, in some cases; be similar for both sexes hence the need to discuss later on the joint score which although heavily affected by the sex ratio score takes into consideration the age ratio scores for both sexes.

## Age ratio

17. Table 3 gives the age ratiol/ for five year age groups and the age ratio scores 1/ by sex during the 1960 and 1970 rounds of censuses for eight selected African countries; it also gives the same data for selected Asian and Latin. American countries for a given year.
18. Most of the countries show for age 5-9 an age ratio, for each sex, in excess of 100 ; this indicates that the share of this age group is too high as age ratios of less than 100 wo uld have been expected because of thepatterns of child mortality.
19. From age 10 the fluctuations vary from country to country and from census to census but in most cases where for an age group the age ratio is in excess of 100 for males, it is also in excess of 100 for females; however the deviations over 100 for females tend to be larger than those for males. Except for Libya, Tanzania and Tunisia for their last census and Ceylon for its 1963 census all other countries show lower age ratio scores for males than for females.

1/ See "Accuracy tests for census age distributions tabulated in five-year and ten-year groups", op: cit.


1960 round of censuses

. Note : 1/ Published data were graduated and smoothed

Table 3. (Cont'd)
Age ratio for five-year age groups under 70 during the 1960 rounds of censuses for selected African countries and age ratio for selected Asian and Latin Ameri an countries for a given year


Note : 2/African population only

Table 3. (cont'd)
Age ratio for five-year age groups under 70 during the 1960 rounds of censuses for selected African countries and age ratio for selected Asian and Latin American countries for a given year

20. Table 4 indicates for each selected country the age groups, by sex, where the age ratio is high compared to those of the adjacent age groups and this for a given census data. For the 1960 African censuses there is clear indication that ratios are mostly high at ages $25-29,40-44,50-54$ and $60-64$ e For the 1970 African censuses the high ratios are less concentrated on the above age groups and one find high ratios at ages 15-19 and 35-39.
21. Considering the data for the selected Asian and Latin American countries, India, Iran and Pakistan show high ratios at ages 40-44, 50-54 and 60-64 a!pattern similar to that observed for the selected African countries during their 1960 censuses. Ceylon, however, shows high age ratios at ages $10-14,20-24,35-39,45-49$ and 60-64; Argentina shows high age ratios at ages $10-14,45-49$ and $60-64$ for both sexes and Colombia shows high ratios at ages $35-39 ; 50-54$ and $60-64$ for both sexes.
22. If we consider the joint score (see table 5) all selected African countries show an improvement from one census to another as was suggested by the sex ratio score.
23. The level of the joint score for the African countries compare favourably with that of the selected Asian countries and Colombia but is much higher than that of Argentina.
24. The selected Asian and Latin American countries may not. be.representative of their respective region and it will be hazardous to draw conclusions, based on their data, on the similarity or difference of pattern of age misreporting in comparison to those observed in most African countries.
25. It is, however, interesting to note that other studies $1 /$ show ${ }^{\text {that }}$ "certain populations (including many in tropical Africa, some in Northem Africa and the Near East, plus India and Pakistan) have census age distributions by five-year intervals that are quite substantially distorted by age misreporting; in a pattern that has many common features. In contrast, censuses in the Philippines and Latin America have give-year age distributions that are much less distorted, and censuses in parts of Asia, including China (Taiwan), the Republic of Korea and Thailand have five-vear age distributions that appear distorted only to a minor extent by age misreporting".
$1 /$ United Nations. Manual IV. Methods of estimating basic Cemographic measures.
from incomplete data. United Nations publication No. 67.XIII.2)


Table 5. Sex ratio score, age ratio score and joint score for selected countries at given census dates

|  | Algeria |  | Botswana |  | Ghana |  | Kenya |  | tibya |  | Proroceo |  | Tanzania |  | Tun sia |  | Ceylcn | Tndja | Iran | Pakistan | Argen Colombi |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 1954 | 1965 | 1964 | 19.71 | 1960 | 1970 | 1962 | 1969 | 1.954 | 1064 | 1960 | 1971 | 1957 | 1967: | $1.950^{1 / 1}$ | 1966 | 1903 | 1961 | 1965 | 1961 | 1950 | 1964 |
| Sor ratio score | 13.3 | 5.3 | 5.6 | 6.2 | 8.5 | 7.2 | 11.7 | : 7.7 | 17.9 | 7.0 | 26.7 | 17.1 | 2.3 | 12.3 | 1.7 | 5.7 | 4.2 | 5.9 | 10.0 | 8.2 | 1.8 | 3.8 |
| sco |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Males | 11.7 | 5.3 | 10.9 | 6.1 | 11.7 | 9.1 | 11.2 | 4.6 | 17.1 | 9.5 | 24.0 | 15.6 | 2.0 | 21.4: | 2.0 | 6.2 | 7.7 | 14,6 | 17.5 | 12.4 | 3.6 | 9.15 |
| Females | 23.5 | 6.9 | 11.6 | 6.4 | 15.6 | 12.0 | 12.3 | 7.0 | 32.2 | 6.1 . | 48.4 | 26.9 | 2.6 | 17.3 | 2.1 | 4.8 | 7.6 | 17.9 | 20.9 | 21.9 | 3.1 | 10.0 |
| Joint ratio score | 74.1 | 28.1 | 39.3 | 31.3 | 52.8 | 42.7 | 58.6 | 33.7 | $1 C 3.0$ | 36.6 | 22.5 | 93.8 | 11.5 | 75.6 | 9.2 | 26.8 | 27.9 | 50.2 | 68.4 | 58.9 | 12.1 | 30.5 |

Note: The published data for 1957 and 1956 are not the reported ones. The original distribution was already before publication.


## Digit preferences

26. Table 6 gives the measures of digit preferences and Myer's index for eleven selected African countries, for which single years age-distributions are available, and for Ceylon, Iran and Colombia. Except for Swaziland and Ceylon the index of digit preference is greater for femal :s than for maies.
27. As far similarity or difference in the preference or aversion for a given digit is concerned one can note that for males the preferred digit is "O" in seven African countries; In Iran and Colombia, "5" in two African countries and in Ceylon, "6" in Swaziland and "9" in Zambia. All the countries (except Botswana for which the second preferred digit is "6") showing. "0" as preferred digit have " 5 " as second preferred digit or vice-versa. For Swaziland the second preferred digit is "8"; while it is "7" for Zambia.
28. For males the least preferred digit is "l"or "9" or both in all the countries except in Morocco and Zambia where they are " 4 " and " 6 " respectively.
29. For females the preferred digit is " O " in all the countries but the second preferred digit is "9" in Botswana and Zambia, "8" in Swaziland and Ceylon and " 5 " in the other countries.
30. The least preferred digit for females is "l" or "9" in all countries except Botswana and Nigeriá where it is " 3 " and Zambia where it is " 6 "。
31. With the data of the selected countries it is established that there is definite preference for digit " 0 " particularly among females; " 5 " being the second preferred digit, in most cases, where it is not the first. For most. countries the least preferred digit is " 1 " or " 9 " or both.
32. It will be noted however that unusual preferences or aversions are observed; this is the case of Zambia with "9" as the preferred digit for males and the second preferred digit for females and "6" as the least preferred for both sexes. In Swaziland the preferred digit for males is " 6 " and " 8 " is the second preferred digit for both sexes. In Moroco the least preferred digit for males is " 4 "。

## Conclusion

33. The tests applied to the selected countries show that the reported age distributions show irregularities which vary from country to country and from census to census although some of the irregularities in certain cases are common to most of the countries and are present in two consecutive censuses for the same country.
34. Some of the irregularities can reflect the true situation inasmuch as they can be consequences of factors such as fertility, mortality and migration or other events. Thus, the demographic history of a country, can always be helpful in determining if the irregularities of age distribution are true or due to errors: The use of model for correcting the reported irregularities should be viewed in this setting.

| Digit | MALES |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  |  | $\begin{aligned} & \text { ※5 } \\ & \stackrel{y}{9} \\ & \underset{y}{2} \end{aligned}$ | $\circ$ <br> 0 <br> 0 <br> 0 |  |  |  |  |  |  | 5 <br> $\substack{5 \\ -1 \\ 0 \\ 0 \\ \hline}$ | $\xrightarrow{8}$ | ¢ ¢ E, 0 0 0 0 |
|  | 1966 | 1971 | 1970 | 1969 | 1960 | 1963 | 1966 | 1967 | 1966 | 1969 | 1969 | 1963 | 1966 | 1964 |
| 0 | +1.6 | +2.6 | +7.8 | +5.3 | 20.6 | $+18.8$ | -0.2 | $+11.5$ | +1.7 | +7.8 | +1.3 | +4.9 | +11.5 | +2.9 |
| 1 | $-1.6$ | -1.6 | $-3.2$ | -2.7 | -6.0 | -3.2 | -4.8 | -4.8 | -1.3 | -3.1 | -0.2 | -3.1 | -3.4 | -3.0 |
| 2 | -0.2 | 0.0 | +0.1 | 0.0 | -1.7 | -1.6 | -2.0 | -1.0 | +0.1 | 0.0 | -1.1 | +0.4 | -0.6 | +0.5 |
| 3 | -0.7 | -1.3 | -2.8 | -2.3 | -4.9 | -4.1 | -3.4 | $-3.0$ | +0.6 | -2.6 | -1.5 | -0.4 | -2.7 | -0.3 |
| 4 | -0.1 | -1.4 | -1.9 | -1.5 | -4.6 | -4.7 | -2.3 | -3.5 | -0.6 | -2.0 | -1.5 | -2.2 | -2.7 | +0.8 |
| 5 | +2.2 | +0.4 | $\div 4.3$ | +2.9 | 12.5 | +7.6 | +0.3 | +4.9 | +2.1 | +3.0 | +1.5 | +5.5 | +8.5 | $+1.8$ |
| 6 | +1.1 | +0.7 | -0.4 | -0.9 | -2.7 | $-3.2$ | +8.7 | +2.1 | +1.1 | -1.3 | -2.2 | -1.4 | $-2.8$ | $-1.0$ |
| 7 | -0.9 | -0.3 | -2.6 | -1.6 | -5.5 | -3.2 | -2.9 | +2.1 | -0.3 | -1.5 | +1.7 | -2.1 | -2.5 | -1.1 |
| 8 | +0.1 | +0.4 | +1.3 | +1.1 | -0.8 | $-2.4$ | +7.2 | +2,0 | -0.2 | +0.9 | -0.7 | +1.3 | -1.3 | $+1.1$ |
| 9 | -1.6 | +0.5 | -2.6 | -1.0 | -7.0 | -3.9 | -0.6 | $-2.0$ | -2.0 | -1.4 | +2.7 | -2.9. | $-4.0$ | -1.7 |
| Index | 10.1 | 9.2 | 27.0 | 19.3 | 66.3 | 52.7 | 32.4 | 36.9 | 10.0 | 23.6 | 14.4 | 24.2 | 40.0 | 14.2 |


|  |  |  |  | $\circ$ <br> 0 <br> 0 <br> 0 <br> 0 |  | 动 |  |  | 鸷 |  | 5 <br> 0 <br> 0 <br> 0 | ${ }_{\text {¢ }}^{\substack{\text { ¢ } \\ \text { ¢ }}}$ | -r |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1966 | 1971 | 1970 | 1969 | 1960 | 1963 | 1966 | 1967 | 1966 | 1969 | 1969 | 1963 | 1966 | 1964 |
| +5.4 | $\div 2.7$ | +9.9 | +6.4 | +29.5 | +20.5 | +3.6 | $+10.2$ | +4.4 | +10.9 | +3.4 | +8.6 | +15.3 | +4.5 |
| -2.3 | -1.3 | -3.6 | -3.1 | -7.1 | -3.4 | -3.0 | -5.0 | -1.4 | -3.8 | +0.6 | -3.3 | -4.5 | -3.7 |
| -0.8 | -0.2 | +0.1 | -1.2 | -3.4 | -2.3 | -0.4 | -1.9. | -0.4 | -0.6 | -0.8 | +0.5 | -1.3 | +0.2 |
| -1.6 | $-1.4$ | -3.0 | -2.6 | -6. 4 | -4.6 | -1.8 | -3.6 | -1.6 | -3.5 | -0.9 | -0.3 | -3.6 | -0.9 |
| -0.9 | -1.3 | -1.8 | -1.7 | -6.0 | -5.0 | -1.1 | -3.5 | -1.5 | -2.4 | -1.7 | -2.0 | $-3.3$ | +0.2 |
| +3.0 | +0.2 | +3.8 | +2.4 | +13.8 | +7.3 | +2.1 | +7.2 | +2.9 | +3.8 | +0.7 | -0.5 | +9.9 | +2.4 |
| +1.0 | +0.6 | -0.5 | -0.6 | -4.4 | -3.4 | -0.3 | -2.5 | -1.4 | $-1.8$ | -2.4 | -1.0 | $-3.3$ | -0.9 |
| -1.9 | -1.1 | -3.5 | -2.0 | -6.6 | -3.4 | -1.9 | -2.1 | -0.5 | -2.7 | -0.2 | -1.9 | -3.2 | -1.2 |
| +0.5 | $+1.0$ | +1.8 | +2.1 | -1.8 | -1.9 | +2.4 | +3.4 | +0.6 | +1.9 | -1.9 | +2.6 | -1.3 | +1.3 |
| -2.4 | +0.8 | -3.2 | -0.9 | -7.7 | $-4.0$ | +0.4 | -2.1 | -2.6 | -1.9 | +3.2 | -2.7 | -4.7 | -1.9 |
| 19.8 | 10.6 | 31.2 | 23,0 | 86.7 | 55.8 | 17.0 | 41.5 | 17.3 | 33.3 | 15.8 | 23.4 | 50.4 | 17.2 |

Note : Indices are based on single year data from 10 to 69 years.
Algeria :. : $31 / X / 1952$

4/IV/1966

Botswana
15/1-VI 1964

VIII/1971

Ghana
20/III/ 1960
1/III/ 1970
Kenya
15/VIII/1962

24-25/VIII/1969


Nigeria
5-8/XI/1963

De. jure population. Exoluding persons in institutions and personnel in military establishments, merchani seanen; armed forces and diplomatic persomnel stationed eif outside the country:
Age classification based on year of birt: rather thas sompleted. years of age.
De jure population. In the departments of Oasis and Saoura, enumeration took place between 22 December 1965 and 20 January 1966。

De jure population, excluding noinads estimated at $14,150(7,075$ males: 7,075 females).

574,094 persons were enumerated as the de facto population; in addition,
45,735 persons were reported as absent and an estimate or io, 550 persons wes made for nomads who were not envmerated.

Age was recorded as age at the last birthday.

Data are based on a complate enumeration of non-African population and of urban African population and on a 10 por cent sample of rural African population. The population of Northern Province is excluded.

Excluding alien armed forces stationed in the area.

De jure population.
De jure population.
Results published based on the processing of a sample of 10 per cent of the census schedules.

Census believed to be over-enumerated.

E/CN.14/POP/ 100 :
Annex I
Page 2

| Swaziland | 24/v/1966 | Excluding persons in transit. |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Tanzania | 20-11 \& 19/VIII | Census of Tanganyika, excluding armed forces. Publisked datia are adjusted and smoothed. |
|  | 26/VIII/1967 | Excludes population of small agglomerations in the frontier districts; numbering 101,225 persons for buth sexes. |
| Tunisia | 1/1II/1956 | Excluding Algerian refugees temporarily in the country, estimated at aboat 170,000 for both sexes in 1960. Eata have been adjusted for under-mumeration of females and smoothed. |
|  | 3/v/1966 | Excludes adjustment for under-erimmeration estimated at 4.0 per cent. |
| Uganda | 18/VIII/1969 |  |
| Ceylon | 8/VII/1963 | Census results exclude adjustment for under-enumeration. |
| India | 1/III/1961 | Including data for the Indian-held part of Janmu and Kashmir (popuiation 3,356;976; of which 1,$896 ; 633$ males 1 ; 66it, 343 females), the final status of which lias not yet been determined, and an estimate ( 626.667 of which 302,534 males and $32 i_{4} 133$ females) for population of Goa, Daman and Diu. Excluding part of North East Frorivier Agency (population 297,853, of which 147,100 males and 150, 753 females). |
| Iran | 1-20/XI/1966 | For settled population enly; unsettled population (numberiag ? $264: 141$ for both sexes) and nomadic tribes f mumbering 462,1/4 for both sexes) were excluded, |
| Pakistan | 1/II/1961 | Excluding data for Jarmu and Kashmir, the final status of which has not yet been determined: Junagai dn, Manavadar, Gilgit and Baltistan: Excludiog Elsu dota for Frontier Regions of We;it pakistan (population 3,437,939 of which i,791,755 maies and 1,646,184 females): 111,369 foreigners ( 64,824 males, 46,545 fenales) and probably also a considerable nuriber of nomads: Also excluding adjustment for under-enumeration. |


| Argentina | 30/IX/1960 | Census results exclude adjustment for <br> under-enumeration. |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Colombia | 15/VII/1964 |  |

Sources : United Nations. Demographic Yearbook. For Botswana and Morocco (1971 census) National publications.
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Fifure 1: Sex ratios reported in the 1960 and 1970 censuges in five-year ace uroups.
Trux do mesculinits obtinus ece résultats dos rceensements de 1960 ct 1970, en groupes and $^{* *}$ es quinqucnnaux.



